

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/882,025	06/18/2001	Tetsuya Kuno	1190-0501P	1190-0501P 8814	
2292	7590 08/25/2005		EXAMINER		
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			HENN, TIMOTHY J		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747		7	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2612		
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/882,025	KUNO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Timothy J. Henn	2612		

	Timothy J. Henn	2612	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid ab affidavit, or other evide a compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brid	ef, will not be entered	because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	nsideration and/or search (see NC w); tter form for appeal by materially r corresponding number of finally re	OTE below); reducing or simplifying	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		e, timely filed amendm	ent canceling
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 4-8. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-3 and 9.		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a nd sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> avit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apports ry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by see attached.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ince because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	

Application/Control Number: 09/882,025 Page 2

Art Unit: 2612

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 04 August 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding Applicant's arguments that Ueda does not anticipate claims 1-3 and 9 due to the reason that legs 11 do not meet the requirements of the claimed supporting member the examiner notes that in Figure 52 of Ueda the legs 11 and lens 4 are clearly one piece and can be considered to be the claimed "optical system". As stated in the rejection the substrate 1 can be considered the "supporting member" which directly abuts both the CCD 12 on an image forming surface as well the optical system as claimed. Therefore, the Applicant's arguments which consider the legs 11 to be the "supporting member" are not persuasive.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy J. Henn whose telephone number is (571) 272-7310. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy R. Garber can be reached on (571) 272-7308. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/882,025 Page 3

Art Unit: 2612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TJH 8/17/2005

And the trainer